TRITA-EPP-84-03

A MODEL FOR THE PROPAGATION OF THE
WESTWARD TRAVELING SURGE

P.L. Rothwell, M.B. Silevitch and
L.P. Block

May 1984

Department of Plasma Physics
Royal Institute of Technology
S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

A MODEL FOR THE PROPAGATION OF THE WESTWARD TRAVELING SURGE

P.L. Rothwell¹⁾, M.B. Silevitch²⁾ and L.P. Block³⁾

1) Air Force Geophysics Laboratory, Hanscom AFB, Bedford,
MA 01731

2) Department of Electrical Engineering, Northeastern
University, Boston, MA 02115

3) Department of Plasma Physics, The Royal Institute of
Technology, S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

A unified model is developed for the propagation of the Westward Traveling Surge (WTS) which can explain the diversity in the observed surge characteristics. We start with the Inhester-Baumjohann model for the surge region which implicitly includes both the Hall and Pedersen currents. It is found that precipitating electrons at the conductivity gradient modify the gradient, causing it to propagate as a wave front. The velocity of propagation is directly dependent on the ionization efficiency of the precipitating electrons and, therefore, increases dramatically when they become more energetic during substorm onsets. For example, we predict that when the incident electron energy changes from 1 keV to 10 keV the surge velocity should increase from 2 km/s to 34 km/s. The direction of the surge motion depends on the presence of polarization charges on the poleward surge boundary. This is related to the efficiency with which the poleward ionospheric currents are closed off into the magnetosphere by the field-aligned currents. Inclusion of the electron-ion recombination rate modifies the surge propagation velocity and leads to explicit expressions for the conductivity profile. Sufficient precipitation current is required to overcome electron-ion recombination in order for the surge to expand. When the precipitating current is less than this threshold the WTS retreats. Therefore, the model describes the ionospheric response to both the expansion and recovery phases of the

magnetic substorm.

1. Introduction

The Westward Traveling Surge (WTS) is a primary signature of substorm onsets (Rostoker et al., 1980). In simplest terms the WTS represents the westward electrojet as it expands westward starting near local midnight during the substorm expansion phase (Tighe and Rostoker, 1981). Figure 1 shows a typical WTS profile as measured by the DMSP satellite F-6. In this example we see evidence for multiple surge structure as described by Rostoker et al. (1980). Note that the shape of the WTS is similar to that of ocean waves where the wave crest corresponds to a surge head. A more detailed examination of the surge reveals several characteristic features of the phenomena. These are 1) the surge moves in discrete jumps or steps (Wien and Rostoker, 1975; Pytte et al., 1976). 2) Instantaneous velocities of up to 30 km/s have been observed in the leading branch of the westward electrojet (Opgenoorth et al., 1983; Yahnin et al., 1983; G. Rostoker, private communication). However, a typical surge velocity is on the order of 1.0-2.0 km/s (Pytte et al., 1976). 3) The energy of the precipitating electron flux associated with the surge region is usually in the keV range (Meng et al., 1978). 4) At the head of the surge there exists an intense upward field-aligned current carried by energetic precipitating electrons. This current is concentrated within an area of at least $100 \text{ km} \times 100 \text{ km} (1^\circ \times 1^\circ)$ with an average intensity of $1-10 \mu\text{A/m}^2$ and can be modelled as a line current carrying $\approx 10^5 \text{ A}$ (Inhester et al., 1981). The slab model developed in section 2 can be applied to both the surge head and to the western precursor region.

Steady-state models of the WTS have been developed by Hughes and Rostoker, 1979; Rostoker and Hughes, 1979; and Tighe and Rostoker, 1981 using primarily ground based observations. By incorporating STARE data Inhester et al., 1981 have developed a comprehensive static model of the electrodynamic structure within the surge. Kan et al. (1984) have pointed out the

importance of ionospheric current closure on the poleward surge boundary. Using static conductivity models they show that closure is responsible for the westward intrusion of highly conductive regions. In the present dynamical model we explicitly show how closure determines the surge direction and, in contrast to their conclusions, find that the surge speed does not necessarily depend on the time rate of change of closure.

In this paper we develop a dynamic model for the propagation of the WTS. As shown in the next section we explicitly utilize the closure concept and incorporate it with elements of the Inhester-Baumjohann model (Baumjohann, 1983). The motion of the WTS in the midnight sector is considered to be controlled by three mechanisms. These are: a) the energy and intensity of the precipitating electrons, b) the electron-ion recombination rate, and c) the degree of current closure on the poleward boundary of the surge. Closure is governed by the parameter α which is a measure of the degree to which the ionospheric Hall current is continued into the magnetosphere at the poleward boundary. (See discussion near equation (2) for more details.) In our context strong current closure ($\alpha = 1$) implies full continuation of the ionospheric Hall current system into the magnetosphere via field-aligned currents. Both α and the precipitation energy represent the magnetospheric input to the surge dynamics. The mechanisms describing these parameters are outside the scope of the present paper.

Upward field-aligned currents in the WTS are most intense where the conductivity gradient is largest. These currents are carried by precipitating electrons which modify the conductivity gradient through enhanced ionization. A wave equation is derived for the propagation of the conductivity gradient. The phase velocity is proportional to $V_{dt}QH$ where V_{dt} is the magnitude of the total $E \times B$ drift velocity and QH is the height-integrated ionization efficiency for precipitating electrons at the conductivity gradient. This velocity is greatly enhanced when the average electron energy increases from 1 keV to 10 keV. For example, a 10 mV/m

electric field implies a surge velocity of approximately 3.7 km/s for 1 keV incident electrons whereas 10 keV incident electrons will drive the surge at approximately 34 km/sec. (See Table I for details.) We see no direct connection between this propagation mechanism and that based upon an ion-acoustic wave as proposed by Kan et al. (1984).

The effect is to produce jumps in the surge velocity whenever the precipitating energy spectrum near the surge head is sufficiently hard. As shown below, it is even possible to have abrupt northeastward surge motion if there is overclosure at the poleward boundary (i.e. $\alpha > 1$). Thus, even this simplified model provides coherence to the diversity of observed surge propagation characteristics.

In section 2 the simplified model is developed for the WTS where electron-ion recombination effects are ignored. The magnitude of the surge velocity and its direction are derived. In section 3 we investigate the role of electron-ion recombination effects. We find that the recombination rate modifies the surge velocity, determines the conductivity profile at the boundary and is responsible for the retreat of the enhanced conductivity region and the associated electrojet during the recovery phase.

2. A model for the westward traveling surge

We start with the slab model for the WTS near local midnight as given by Baumjohann (1983) and as shown in Figure 2. In this section we only consider the motion of this slab. The results will be applied to the WTS in the discussion section. For clarity the stated propagation directions are defined for the WTS occurring in the northern hemisphere. An effective westward electric field, E_0 , in the surge region drives a northward Hall current. This electric field is composed of the large scale convection field and that produced by negative charge buildup at the surge head. The northward current is closed into the magnetosphere by the precipitating electrons at the conductivity gradient on the northern boundary. The

Hall current is

$$J_H = \Sigma_H E_O \quad (1)$$

However, the net ionospheric current reaching the northern boundary may be unequal to J_H depending on the presence of boundary polarization charges as indicated in Figure 2. This boundary polarization charge produces a southward polarization electric field, E_p , as shown in the figure. This electric field gives rise to an associated Pedersen current, $J_p = \Sigma_p E_p$. Thus, the net current reaching the northern boundary is $J_n = J_H - J_p = \Sigma_H E_O - \Sigma_p E_p = \alpha J_H$, where the parameter α is a measure of the degree of continuity (closure) of the Hall current on the northern boundary via the Birkeland currents, assuming no closure currents in the ionosphere outside the slab. It is an undetermined parameter in our theory that reflects the ionosphere-magnetosphere coupling. The value $\alpha = 0$ denotes no closure, the value $\alpha = 1$ full closure and $\alpha > 1$ overclosure (i.e. a negative polarization charge on the northern boundary produced by excess Birkeland currents).

We define a coordinate system as shown in Figure 2 such that the z-axis points perpendicular to both the current channel and the earth's magnetic field. The x-axis points parallel to the current channel. When applied to the westward electrojet the x-coordinate is approximately west and the z-coordinate north. For simplicity, in the following model we define x as pointing due west and z as pointing due north.

The precipitating Birkeland current is the divergence of J_n as given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_n &= -\partial (E_O \alpha \Sigma_H) / \partial z \\ &= -E_O \alpha \partial \Sigma_H / \partial z \end{aligned} \quad (2a)$$

where α is the coupling parameter defined above. A meaningful

α can only be defined where there is a conductivity gradient. To be more precise consider current conservation across the boundary.

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} j_{\parallel} dz = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} -E_0 \partial (\alpha(z) \Sigma_H(z)) dz \quad (2b)$$

If $\alpha = \text{const.}$ within the boundary region ($z_1 < z < z_2$) then

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_2} j_{\parallel} dz = \alpha J_H(z_1) \quad (2c)$$

This is another way of expressing the overall current continuity at the northern boundary. An $\alpha < 1$ implies the presence of positive polarization charge. We associate $j_{\parallel}$ with the flux of precipitating electrons through the relation $j_{\parallel}/e$. This assumes that the precipitating protons are not important in the surge region (Akasofu et al., 1969) and that the dominant current carriers are energetic (keV) electrons (Meng et al., 1978; Inhester et al., 1981). This is consistent with the enhancement of keV electrons observed during the passage of the WTS (Opgenoorth et al., 1983). For simplicity we shall ignore possible spatial variations in both α and E_0 . The ratio of the Hall to Pedersen conductivity (R) is considered to be a function of z only.

In the following derivation we recognize that precipitating electrons through ionization modify the conductivity. The local time rate of change of the Hall conductivity is

$$\partial \Sigma_H / \partial t \approx (eH/B) \partial n / \partial t \quad (3)$$

where n is the ion density which is related to the precipitating electrons through the ionization efficiency, Q (Rees, 1963; Jasperse and Basu, 1982). Thus,

$$\partial n / \partial t = Q j_{\parallel} / e - \sigma_r n^2 \quad (4)$$

where here Q is the average number of ions produced per incident electron-m and H is the appropriate height interval for Q and σ_r is the electron-ion recombination coefficient in the ionosphere. (The unit ions/electron-m when multiplied by the unit for flux (electron/m²-s) becomes ions/m³-s, the ion production rate per unit volume). Equation (4) is general in that an average Q can be defined for any incident spectrum. The ionization rate is then this average Q times the total incident electron current providing the net backscattered current is small. For example, the presence of a parallel electric field will effectively eliminate any upward electron current contribution (Evans, 1974). If we assume that only the energetic component (keV electrons) carry the parallel current then the Q in equation (4) is identical to the Q/F defined by Rees (1963).

We initially treat the limiting case where $-j_{\parallel} Q / e \gg \sigma_r n^2$ in order to illustrate the physical principles involved. The full equation is solved below in section 3. There we show that the simple approximation is valid if $j_{\parallel} \gg 3 \text{ A/m}^2$ when $E_{\text{incid}} > 1 \text{ keV}$. The sign in front of $j_{\parallel}$ in equation (4) indicates that positive current is flowing away from the earth. Combining eqs. 2-4 we obtain

$$\partial \Sigma_H / \partial t = -(Q H E_0 \alpha) / B \partial \Sigma_H / \partial z \quad (5)$$

which is a wave equation with a phase velocity given by

$$V_n = Q H \alpha V_d \quad (6)$$

where $V_d = E_0 / B$. This is easily found by making a Galilean transformation $\Sigma_H = \Sigma_H(z')$, where $z' = z - V_n t$.

Figure 3 shows the estimated variation of QH with incident electron energy as taken from Figure 2 of Rees, 1963. From this figure it is seen that a 1 keV monoenergetic precipitating flux implies $QH \approx 10$ and for a 10 keV flux $QH \approx 90$. Thus, when the energy spectrum of the precipitating electrons hardens the boundary velocity can increase by factors of ten. Meng et al. (1978) have measured a very hard precipitating energy spectrum in the surge region. We argue that the surge velocity is related to the production of energetic electrons connected with substorm onsets. According to this mechanism the surge velocity should increase dramatically during substorm onsets as has been observed by Samson and Rostoker (1983).

We now consider the western boundary (i.e. the surge head). The dynamics of this boundary is connected to the propagation of the poleward boundary through closure. That is, a small α implies a large E_p which drives a westward Hall current that adds to the Pedersen current driven by E_o . The total westward current is given by

$$J_w = \sum_p E_o + \sum_H E_p \quad (7)$$

From the above definition of J_n we have

$$J_p = (1 - \alpha) J_H$$

$$\sum_p E_p = (1 - \alpha) \sum_H E_o \quad (8)$$

Substituting this expression for E_p into equation (7) leads to an α -dependent Cowling current

$$J_w = \{1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha)\} E_o \sum_p \quad (9)$$

where

$$R = \Sigma_H / \Sigma_P$$

The precipitating current at the head of the surge is

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \{ 1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha) \} E_0 \partial \Sigma_P / \partial x \\ &= \left[\{ 1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha) \} E_0 / R \right] \partial \Sigma_H / \partial x \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the x-axis is in the westward direction and R is considered independent of x. Note that at the northern boundary it was not necessary to assume R constant in deriving equation (5). Therefore, E_p (equation (8)) may also be a function of z and our model implicitly allows polarization charge along the northern boundary. A wave equation is now derived for the western boundary associated with the surge head just as for the northern boundary. The resulting phase velocity is

$$V_w = Q H V_d \{ 1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha) \} / R \quad (11)$$

We now assume that the surge head region will propagate in a direction determined by the vector sum of V_n and V_w . The direction of the resultant surge velocity based on this idealized model is given by

$$\tan \gamma_s = V_n / V_w = \alpha R / \{ 1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha) \} \quad (12)$$

where $\gamma_s = 0$ corresponds to due west motion. We see that the direction of the surge is highly dependent on the degree of closure on the northern surge boundary and the value of R on the western surge boundary. For zero closure ($\alpha = 0$) the surge moves due west. For complete closure ($\alpha = 1$) the direction is almost due north $\left[\tan \gamma_s = R \approx 3 ; \gamma_s \approx 72^\circ \right]$.

The sensitivity of γ_s to α is modulated by the magnitude of R . A detailed plot of γ_s vs. α for various values of R is shown in Figure 4. Note that the surge direction can range from due west to northeast, where the latter condition will arise when there is significant overclosure ($\alpha > 1$) during periods of intense electron precipitation.

The magnitude of the total slab velocity, V_{ts} , is found from $V_{ts}^2 = V_n^2 + V_w^2$. Clearly, the resultant motion of the surge head is more complex than that indicated by the simple slab model treated here. (See discussion for more details.) Note that the slab velocity, V_{ts} , can be much larger than the $E \times B$ speed and is in a different direction. From this definition of V_{ts} and equations (6) and (11) we find that

$$V_{ts} = \frac{V_d QH}{R} \left\{ \alpha^2 R^2 + (1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha))^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (13)$$

Using the identity $\alpha^2 = (1 - \alpha)^2 - 1 + 2\alpha$ in V_{ts} one finds (see Appendix) that the total slab velocity can be expressed simply as

$$V_{ts} = V_{dt} QH \{ 1 + 1/R^2 \}^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

which is independent of α and where V_{dt} is the total $E \times B$ speed as given by

$$V_{dt} = V_d \{ E_o^2 + E_p^2 \}^{1/2} / E_o \quad (15)$$

Using equation (8) we have

$$V_{dt} = V_d \{ 1 + R^2 (1 - \alpha)^2 \}^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

It has been noted by Opgenoorth et al. (1983) that the measured electric field within and south of the auroral forms connected with the passage of a WTS was typically below the threshold ($\approx 15 \text{ mV/m}$) of the STARE radar. This gives an upper limit to the drift velocity V_{dt} of 0.375 km/s . For V_{ts} (equation 14) to equal the measured surge velocity of $2.0 - 3.3 \text{ km/s}$ QH must be, therefore, on the order of ten. This implies from Figure 2 that the mean electron precipitating energy was on the order of 1 keV , which is consistent with observations (Opgenoorth et al., 1983). More comprehensive auroral campaigns are needed to simultaneously define the parameters used in our model.

3. Effects of electron-ion recombination

In this section we include the effects of the electron - ion recombination rate on the WTS. One exact solution to equation (4) can be obtained by assuming an ionospheric-magnetospheric coupling such that α is equal to a constant. The equation for Σ_H at the northern boundary is now

$$\partial \Sigma_H / \partial t = - V_n \partial \Sigma_H / \partial z - G \Sigma_H^2 \quad (17)$$

where

$$G = \sigma_x B / eH \quad (18)$$

and where V_n is defined in equation (6).

We not look for a solution to equation (17) which is stationary in a coordinate system (z') moving with a velocity V relative to the earth's surface. Accordingly we make a Galilean transformation ($z' = z - Vt$). This allows equation (17) to be rewritten as

$$\Sigma_H' / \Sigma_H^2 = G / (V - V_n) \quad (19)$$

where $\Sigma_H = \Sigma_H(z')$ and $\Sigma_H' = \partial \Sigma_H / \partial z'$. Note by definition that equation (19) is solely a function of z' in the moving frame of reference and, therefore, $\Sigma_H(z')$ is stationary in this frame. The solution is

$$\Sigma_H(z') = \Sigma_{Ho} (V - v_n) / (V - v_n - \Sigma_{Ho} G z') \quad (20)$$

where $\Sigma_{Ho} = \Sigma_H(0)$. Hence, choosing $\alpha = \text{constant}$ implies that the conductivity profile is hyperbolic in the moving frame. We choose $z' = 0$ to be where the boundary region joins the main surge region in the Inhester-Baumjohann model. Therefore, Σ_{Ho} has the same value as the conductivity inside the surge head.

The assumed constant velocity V can now be expressed in terms of the conductivity gradient at $z' = 0$. To see this we first take the derivative of equation (20) and evaluate it at $z' = 0$. The resulting expression is

$$\Sigma_{Ho}' = G \Sigma_{Ho}^2 / (V - v_n) \quad (21)$$

where Σ_{Ho}' is the value of the conductivity gradient at $z' = 0$. The physical meaning of equation (21) is made clearer by using equation (2) and solving for V . We find

$$V = v_n - G \Sigma_{Ho}^2 E_o \partial / j_n(0) \quad (22)$$

We have previously shown that v_n increases dramatically as the incident energy spectrum hardens. The recombination term is independent of Q and, therefore, V also increases in the same manner.

Evidently, the slab boundary will not move poleward unless

$$j_n(0) > G \Sigma_{Ho}^2 E_o \partial / v_n = \sigma_r n^2 e / Q \quad (23)$$

Taking $\sigma_r \approx 10^{-13} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (Walls and Dunn, 1974), $n = 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ ions/m}^3$, and $Q = 5 \times 10^{-4} (\text{electron-m})^{-1}$ ($E_{in} = 1 \text{ keV}$) we find a threshold current of 2.9 MA/m^2 . This value is consistent with typical auroral current densities.

The precipitation current, therefore, must exceed some minimum value for the surge to propagate. Equation (22) also implies that if the current is below the threshold value the surge will propagate in a negative or equatorward direction. This, we believe, describes the ionospheric response during the recovery phase of a magnetic substorm. The diminishing precipitation current cannot sustain the high conductivity against electron-ion recombination and the surge head retreats.

Equation (17) can be solved at the western slab boundary in exactly the same way, except now V_n is replaced by V_w as defined in equation (11). The resulting effective velocity depends on the conductivity gradient at the western boundary. The conductivity gradients, therefore, must also influence the surge's direction of propagation as derived in section 2.

It should be emphasized that equation (17) could be solved because we assumed a specific ionospheric-magnetospheric coupling such that a stationary solution was possible in a moving frame of reference. No doubt the dynamical nature of the coupling is such that the conductivity profile is probably nonstationary in all frames of reference. However, the present theory qualitatively explains much of the surge phenomena using presently available data.

Discussion

It has been observed that the WTS moves in a series of discrete steps or jumps (Wien and Rostoker (1975), Pytte et al. (1976)). This result led Rostoker et al. (1980) to define a magnetospheric substorm as allowing a series of multiple surges during the expansion phase. Each surge corresponds to an individual substorm onset which on a time average shifts

the maximum poleward expansion northwestward. In the present model each surge corresponds to a temporal hardening of the precipitating electron flux energy spectrum. This temporal hardening is related to the substorm onset processes in the magnetotail and the generation of parallel electric fields which is outside the scope of the present work.

Samson and Rostoker (1983) noted the presence of associated Pi 2 signatures with the WTS. The surge marks the transition from equatorward to poleward type Pi 2 polarization signatures. The elliptical polarization of the Pi 2's is considered to be caused by the longitudinal expansion of field-aligned currents in the surge together with the conjugate reflection of field-aligned current pulses. We suggest that the same enhanced field-aligned current produces a jump in the surge velocity as predicted by the model presented here and as observed by Wien and Rostoker (1975) and Pytte et al. (1976).

The surge head may at times break off from the main body forming a separate surge. (See Figure 13 in the paper by Samson and Rostoker, 1983.) In terms of the present theory once a new conductivity gradient is established between the surge regions their relative velocity is determined by the energy spectra of the precipitating electrons at the westward head of the separate parts. The propagation of each part can be described by modelling them as a separate slab. Instantaneous velocities of up to 30 km/s have been observed at the leading branch of the western electrojet (Opgenoorth et al., 1983; G. Rostoker, private communication). This branch can be considered as a separate slab with its own model parameters. Westward velocities of 30 km/s will result from equation (11) if we assume $E_0 = 10^{-2}$ V/m, $\alpha = 0$, $R = 3$ and the incident electron energy to be approximately 5 keV. The higher westward velocities at the same precipitation energy are due to the additional R-factor in V_w which arises from the enhanced Cowling current when $\alpha = 0$. Yahnin et al. (1983) note that a harder precipitation energy exists 'inside the surge' in comparison with outside the surge region which is consistent with this result.

Surges have also been occasionally observed moving in a northeasterly direction (Pytte et al., 1976). This can be explained in the present model by overclosure of the Hall current on the poleward surge boundary as shown in Figure 4. Intense electron precipitation ($\alpha > 1$) causes a poleward polarization electric field with an associated eastward Hall current that weakens the westward Pedersen current driven by the external electric field, E_0 .

The direction of the surge motion is related to the direction of the resultant electric field. From Figure 4 it is noted that a northwestward direction corresponds to $\alpha \approx 0.8 - 0.9$. Using this result in equation (8) for E_p implies that the resultant electric field points in the southwestern direction which is consistent with the results of Inhester et al. (1981).

Our results in section 2 (summarized in Table I for $\alpha = 1$) indicated that an unphysical surge velocity could occur if the incident energy spectrum is too hard. However, in section 3 we found that the surge velocity is decreased by the electron-ion recombination rate and that the magnitude of the precipitating current is important. Note also that the relation between α and the incident energy spectrum is unknown so that the excessive velocities given in Table I probably do not occur.

In summary, it is found that the present model predicts a wide range of complex surge phenomena depending on the ratio of the Hall to Pedersen conductivities, the degree of ionospheric current closure into the magnetosphere and the energy spectra of precipitating electrons. The following summarizes our main results. 1. We find that the direction of the WTS depends strongly on the degree of current closure on the poleward boundary. The sensitivity of the surge direction to closure depends on the ratio (R) of the Hall to the Pedersen conductivities. 2. The magnitude of the surge velocity is sensitive to the energy spectrum of the precipitating electrons and weakly dependent on R . The ratio of the surge velocity to the measured drift velocity is independent of the

degree of closure at both the surge head and the northern boundary. 3. The expansion phase of the substorm is explained by assuming that the initial arc brightening arises from a sudden hardening of the precipitating electron energy spectrum at its poleward boundary. 4. Inclusion of electron-ion recombination effects highlights the role of the precipitating current intensity in modulating the surge propagation and explains the equatorward retreat of the surge during the substorm recovery phase. 5. Details of the surge propagation depend on how the magnetosphere and ionosphere are coupled as reflected in the functional form of α and Q . Hence, the energy source is clearly located in the magnetosphere and a complete description of substorm phenomena must take this into account.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge stimulating discussions and pertinent comments by G. Rostoker, C.-G. Fälthammar, W.J. Burke, W.J. Hughes, H. Singer and C. Gelpi. One of us (MBS) would like to acknowledge support under U.S. Air Force Contract F19628-83-K-0014.

Appendix

Equation (14) is obtained from equation (13) by using the given identity. The intermediate results for the bracketed term in equation (13) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \alpha)^2 R^2 + 1 + R^2 + R^4 (1 - \alpha)^2 \\
 & (1 - \alpha)^2 R^2 \quad 1 + R^2 \quad + 1 + R^2 \\
 & (1 + R^2) \quad 1 + (1 - \alpha)^2 R^2
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A1}$$

Comparison of this expression with equation (16) immediately gives equation (14).

References

- Akasofu, S.-I., Eather, R.T. and Bradbury, J.N., The Absence of the Hydrogen Emission (H_{β}) in the Westward Traveling Surge, Planet. Space Sci., 17, 1409-1412, 1969.
- Baumjohann, W., Ionospheric and Field-Aligned Current Systems in the Auroral Zone: A Concise Review, Adv. Space Res., 2, 55-62, 1983.
- Evans, D.S., Precipitating Electron Fluxes Formed by a Magnetic Field Aligned Potential Difference, J. Geophys. Res., 79, 2853-2858, 1974.
- Hughes, T.J., and Rostoker, G., A Comprehensive Model Current System for High-Latitude Magnetic Activity-I. The Steady-State System, Geophys. J. Astr. Soc., 58, 525-569, 1979.
- Inhester, B., Baumjohann, W., Greenwald, R.A. and Nielsen, E., Joint Two-Dimensional Observations of Ground Magnetic and Ionospheric Electric Fields Associated with Auroral Zone Currents: 3. Auroral Zone Currents During the Passage of a Westward Traveling Surge, J. Geophys., 49, 155-162, 1981.
- Kan, J.R., Williams, R.L. and Akasofu, S.-I., A Mechanism for the Westward Traveling Surge During Substorm, J. Geophys. Res., 89, 2211-2216, 1984.
- Meng, C.-I., Snyder, Jr., A.L. and Kroehl, H.W., Observations of Auroral Westward Traveling Surges and Electron Precipitations, J. Geophys. Res., 83, 575-585, 1978.
- Opgenoorth, H.J., Pellinen, R.J., Baumjohann, W., Nielsen, E. Marklund, G. and Eliasson, L., Three-Dimensional Current Flow and Particle Precipitation in a Westward Traveling Surge (observed during the Barium-GEOS rocket experiment), J. Geophys. Res., 88, 3138-3152, 1983.

- Pytte, T., McPherron, R.L. and Kokubun, S., The Ground Signatures of the Expansion Phase During Multiple Onset Substorms, Planet. Space Sci., 24, 1115-1132, 1976.
- Rees, M.H., Auroral Ionization and Excitation by Incident Energetic Electrons, Planet. Space Sci., 11, 1209-1218, 1963.
- Rostoker, G. and Hughes, T.J., A Comprehensive Model Current System for High-Latitude Magnetic Activity-II. The Substorm Component, Geophys. J., Astr. Soc., 58, 571-581, 1979.
- Rostoker, G., Akasofu, S.-I., Foster, J., Greenwald, R.A., Kamide, Y., Kawasaki, K., Lui, A.T.Y., McPherron, R.L. and Russell, C.T., Magnetospheric Substorms - Definitions and Signatures, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 1663-1668, 1980.
- Samson, J.C. and Rostoker, G., Polarization Characteristics of Pi 2 Pulsations and Implications for Their Source Mechanisms: Influence of the Westward Traveling Surge, Planet. Space Sci., 4, 435-458, 1983.
- Tighe, W.G. and Rostoker, G., Characteristics of Westward Traveling Surges During Magnetic Substorms, J. Geophys., 50, 51-67, 1981.
- Walls, F.L. and Dunn, G.H., Measurement of Total Cross-Sections for Electron Recombination with NO^+ and O_2^+ Using Ion Storage Technology, J. Geophys. Res., 79, 1911-1915, 1974.
- Wien, R.G. and Rostoker, G., Characteristics of the Development of the Westward Electrojet During the Expansive Phase of Magnetospheric Substorms, J. Geophys. Res., 80, 2109-2128, 1975.

Yahnin, A.G., Sergeev, V.A., Pellinen, R.J., Baumjohann, W.,
Kaila, K.A., Ranta, H., Kangas, J. and Raspopov, O.M.,
Substorm Time Sequence and Microstructure on 11 November
1976, J. Geophys., 53, 182-197, 1983.

TABLE I

E_{incid} (keV)	H (km)	Q (ions/e ⁻ -cm)	QH (ions/e ⁻)	V_{ts} (km/s)
				($\alpha=1$, $R=3.0$, $E=15\text{mV/m}$)
1.0	20	5×10^{-6}	10	3.7
1.65	10	8×10^{-6}	8	3.0
5.60	7	7×10^{-5}	49	18.7
40.00	5	8×10^{-4}	400	150.0

The height-integrated ion production (QH) from precipitating electrons is listed as a function of incident electron energy. These values were estimated from Figure 2 in the article by Rees, 1963. The appropriate height interval, H , is estimated at the maximum value of Q . The incident electrons are considered to be isotropic, monoenergetic beams. The results are plotted in Figure 3. The parameter α is a measure of how efficiently the poleward Hall current closes into the magnetosphere, R is the ratio of the Hall and Pedersen conductivities, and E is the measured (see equation (15)) electric field in the surge region and V_{ts} is the total surge velocity as defined in equation (14).

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The aurora as photographed by the DMSP-F6 satellite on January 17, 1983. A small Westward Traveling Surge (WTS) is observed in the center of the photograph followed by a significantly larger one to the east. The overall shape of a surge is similar to that of an ocean wave where the wave crest corresponds to the surge head. In our idealized model the surge is represented as a slab as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Idealized model of the WTS as proposed by Inhester et al., 1981. The total external electric field, E_o , drives a westward Pedersen and a northward Hall current. If the northward Hall current is not fully continued by field-aligned currents into the magnetosphere polarization charges build up on the northern surge boundary producing a southward directed electric field, E_p . This southward electric field produces a Hall current in the same direction as the Pedersen current from the original electric field, E_o . This affects the direction of the surge motion as described in the text. Negative polarization charge may also build up at the surge head due to intense electron precipitation (Inhester et al., 1981). This is treated in our idealized model by allowing a renormalization of E_o . In our coordinate system z points perpendicular to E_o and x is parallel to E_o which approximately corresponds to North and West.

Figure 3. Height-integrated ion production efficiency in the ionosphere for incident precipitating electrons. More energetic electrons produce a higher ionization density near the end-of-range. This curve is for an isotropic, monoenergetic incident beam and was taken from Figure 2 of Rees, 1963. Errors up to a factor

of two could arise from erroneous estimation of the appropriate height interval from Rees' figure 2. A reasonable fit to this curve is $QH \approx 5 E^{1.2}$ ions/(incident electron).

Figure 4. The direction of the surge motion as a function of the closure parameter which represents the degree of Hall current closure on the northern surge boundary. The value $\alpha = 1$ implies $E_p = 0$. Also a larger value of α implies a more intense precipitating current with $\alpha > 1$ corresponding to a negative rather than positive charge buildup on the northern boundary. The sensitivity of the surge direction to α is dependent on the ratio of the Hall to Pedersen conductivities at the western surge boundary which we denote by R . Note that $\gamma_s \approx 45^\circ$ corresponds to $\alpha \approx 0.8 - 0.9$.

74.152

DATA IDENTIFICATION		DATE: JUN 78		TIME: 04	
LOCATION		LATITUDE		LONGITUDE	
39 06 10 N		17 40 53 W		5255	
VEHICLE NO. FV3		AN/DN TIME: 2348		LOD OF AN/DN: 65.89	
TYPE: V/LF		W/T: 1/TS		SPREAD: 1	
THRESHOLD: T1		T2		T3	
SCALE: Normal		Expanded		Expanded	
X1		X2		X3	
X4		X5		X6	
X7		X8		X9	
X10		X11		X12	
X13		X14		X15	
X16		X17		X18	
X19		X20		X21	
X22		X23		X24	
X25		X26		X27	
X28		X29		X30	
X31		X32		X33	
X34		X35		X36	
X37		X38		X39	
X40		X41		X42	
X43		X44		X45	
X46		X47		X48	
X49		X50		X51	
X52		X53		X54	
X55		X56		X57	
X58		X59		X60	
X61		X62		X63	
X64		X65		X66	
X67		X68		X69	
X70		X71		X72	
X73		X74		X75	
X76		X77		X78	
X79		X80		X81	
X82		X83		X84	
X85		X86		X87	
X88		X89		X90	
X91		X92		X93	
X94		X95		X96	
X97		X98		X99	
X100		X101		X102	
X103		X104		X105	
X106		X107		X108	
X109		X110		X111	
X112		X113		X114	
X115		X116		X117	
X118		X119		X120	
X121		X122		X123	
X124		X125		X126	
X127		X128		X129	
X130		X131		X132	
X133		X134		X135	
X136		X137		X138	
X139		X140		X141	
X142		X143		X144	
X145		X146		X147	
X148		X149		X150	
X151		X152		X153	
X154		X155		X156	
X157		X158		X159	
X160		X161		X162	
X163		X164		X165	
X166		X167		X168	
X169		X170		X171	
X172		X173		X174	
X175		X176		X177	
X178		X179		X180	
X181		X182		X183	
X184		X185		X186	
X187		X188		X189	
X190		X191		X192	
X193		X194		X195	
X196		X197		X198	
X199		X200		X201	
X202		X203		X204	
X205		X206		X207	
X208		X209		X210	
X211		X212		X213	
X214		X215		X216	
X217		X218		X219	
X220		X221		X222	
X223		X224		X225	
X226		X227		X228	
X229		X230		X231	
X232		X233		X234	
X235		X236		X237	
X238		X239		X240	
X241		X242		X243	
X244		X245		X246	
X247		X248		X249	
X250		X251		X252	
X253		X254		X255	
X256		X257		X258	
X259		X260		X261	
X262		X263		X264	
X265		X266		X267	
X268		X269		X270	
X271		X272		X273	
X274		X275		X276	
X277		X278		X279	
X280		X281		X282	
X283		X284		X285	
X286		X287		X288	
X289		X290		X291	
X292		X293		X294	
X295		X296		X297	
X298		X299		X300	
X301		X302		X303	
X304		X305		X306	
X307		X308		X309	
X310		X311		X312	
X313		X314		X315	
X316		X317		X318	
X319		X320		X321	
X322		X323		X324	
X325		X326		X327	
X328		X329		X330	
X331		X332		X333	
X334		X335		X336	
X337		X338		X339	
X340		X341		X342	
X343		X344		X345	
X346		X347		X348	
X349		X350		X351	
X352		X353		X354	
X355		X356		X357	
X358		X359		X360	
X361		X362		X363	
X364		X365		X366	
X367		X368		X369	
X370		X371		X372	
X373		X374		X375	
X376		X377		X378	
X379		X380		X381	
X382		X383		X384	
X385		X386		X387	
X388		X389		X390	
X391		X392		X393	
X394		X395		X396	
X397		X398		X399	
X400		X401		X402	
X403		X404		X405	
X406		X407		X408	
X409		X410		X411	
X412		X413		X414	
X415		X416		X417	
X418		X419		X420	
X421		X422		X423	
X424		X425		X426	
X427		X428		X429	
X430		X431		X432	
X433		X434		X435	
X436		X437		X438	
X439		X440		X441	
X442		X443		X444	
X445		X446		X447	
X448		X449		X450	
X451		X452		X453	
X454		X455		X456	
X457		X458		X459	
X460		X461		X462	
X463		X464		X465	
X466		X467		X468	
X469		X470		X471	
X472		X473		X474	
X475		X476		X477	
X478		X479		X480	
X481		X482		X483	
X484		X485		X486	
X487		X488		X489	
X490		X491		X492	
X493		X494		X495	
X496		X497		X498	
X499		X500		X501	
X502		X503		X504	
X505		X506		X507	
X508		X509		X510	
X511		X512		X513	
X514		X515		X516	
X517		X518		X519	
X520		X521		X522	
X523		X524		X525	
X526		X527		X528	
X529		X530		X531	
X532		X533		X534	
X535		X536		X537	
X538		X539		X540	
X541		X542		X543	
X544		X545		X546	
X547		X548		X549	
X550		X551		X552	
X553		X554		X555	
X556		X557		X558	
X559		X560		X561	
X562		X563		X564	
X565		X566		X567	
X568		X569		X570	
X571		X572		X573	
X574		X575		X576	
X577		X578		X579	
X580		X581		X582	
X583		X584		X585	
X586		X587		X588	
X589		X590		X591	
X592		X593		X594	
X595		X596		X597	
X598		X599		X600	
X601		X602		X603	
X604		X605		X606	
X607		X608		X609	
X610		X611		X612	
X613		X614		X615	
X616		X617		X618	
X619		X620		X621	
X622		X623		X624	
X625		X626		X627	
X628		X629		X630	
X631		X632		X633	
X634		X635		X636	
X637		X638		X639	
X640		X641		X642	
X643		X644		X645	
X646		X647		X648	
X649		X650		X651	
X652		X653		X654	
X655		X656		X657	
X658		X659		X660	
X661		X662		X663	
X664		X665		X666	
X667		X668		X669	
X670		X671		X672	
X673		X674		X675	
X676		X677		X678	
X679		X680		X681	
X682		X683		X684	
X685		X686		X687	
X688		X689		X690	
X691		X692		X693	
X694		X695		X696	
X697		X698		X699	
X700		X701		X702	
X703		X704		X705	
X706		X707		X708	
X709		X710		X711	
X712		X713		X714	
X715		X716		X717	
X718		X719		X720	
X721		X722		X723	
X724		X725		X726	
X727		X728		X729	
X730		X731		X732	
X733		X734		X735	
X736		X737		X738	
X739		X740		X741	
X742		X743		X744	
X745		X746		X747	
X748		X749		X750	
X751		X752		X753	
X754		X755		X756	
X757		X758		X759	
X760		X761		X762	
X763		X764		X765	
X766		X767		X768	
X769		X770		X771	
X772		X773		X774	
X775		X776		X777	
X778		X779		X780	
X781		X782		X783	
X784		X785		X786	
X787		X78

Fig.2

Fig.3

DIRECTION OF THE WESTWARD TRAVELING SURGE VS
CLOSURE ON THE NORTHERN BOUNDARY

Fig. 4

The Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Plasma Physics,
S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

A MODEL FOR THE PROPAGATION OF THE WESTWARD TRAVELING SURGE

P.L. Rothwell, M.B. Silevitch and L.P. Block
May 1984, 22 pp. incl. illus., in English

A unified model is developed for the propagation of the Westward Traveling Surge (WTS) which can explain the diversity in the observed surge characteristics. We start with the Inhester-Baumjohann model for the surge region which implicitly includes both the Hall and Pedersen currents. It is found that precipitating electrons at the conductivity gradient modify the gradient, causing it to propagate as a wave front. The velocity of propagation is directly dependent on the ionization efficiency of the precipitating electrons and, therefore, increases dramatically when they become more energetic during substorm onsets. For example, we predict that when the incident electron energy changes from 1 keV to 10 keV the surge velocity should increase from 2 km/s to 34 km/s. The direction of the surge motion depends on the presence of polarization charges on the poleward surge boundary. This is related to the efficiency with which the poleward ionospheric currents are closed off into the magnetosphere by the field-aligned currents. Inclusion of the electron-ion recombination rate modifies the surge propagation velocity and leads to explicit expressions for the conductivity profile. Sufficient precipitation current is required to overcome electron-ion recombination in order for the surge to expand. When the precipitating current is less than this threshold the WTS retreats. Therefore, the model describes the ionospheric response to both the expansion and recovery phases of the magnetic substorm.

Key words: Aurora, Electrojet, Electron precipitation, Field-aligned current, Hall conductivity, Ionization, Pedersen conductivity, Recombination, Substorm, Westward travelling surge.