A PMU-Based Fast Real-Time Oscillation Detection Application for Monitoring Wind Farm-to-Grid sub-synchronous Dynamics

Luigi Vanfretti^{1,4}, Maxime Baudette¹, José-Luiz Domínguez-García,²

Muhammad S. Almas¹, Austin White,³ and Jan O. Gjerde,⁴

¹SmarTS Lab, KTH, Sweden

²IREC, Electrical Engineering Area, Spain

³Oklahoma Gas & Electric, TN, USA

⁴Research and Development Division, Statnett SF, Norway

The increase of wind power share increasing has lead to operational challenges for its integration and impact on power grids. Regarding this, unexpected dynamic phenomena, such as oscillatory events around 13 Hz among different wind farms were recorded in the United States of America (USA) by Oklahoma Gas & Electric (OG&E). Such interactions differ from traditional inter-area oscillations, and the ability to detect them is beyond measurement capabilities of most of existing measurement equipment and monitoring tools in energy management systems.

This paper presents the development and implementation of algorithms for fast sub-synchronous oscillation detection, focusing on the aforementioned case. It proposes a real-time monitoring application that exploits synchronized phasor measurements allowing real-time detection of sub-synchronous wind farm dynamics. This tool was built as a prototype for real-time application and utilizes Phasor Measurement Unit (PMU) data for enhanced monitoring and control. The paper focuses on the tool's design and its algorithms. Also, it will briefly present three approaches carried out for testing and validating the PMU-based application, one of them compares the proposed tool with an existing tool at OG&E. Through such experiments the tool presented in the paper has been positively validated for real time applications.

Manuscript received in XXX

Address correspondence to XXXX

1 Introduction

Recent concerns about the environmental impact of traditional electricity generation in western countries has led to a strong increase in renewable sources of energy. Since the 1990s, wind power has been the fastest growing power generation technology [1], a trend expected to continue as several countries have politically engaged themselves into large investments in wind power [2, 3].

Wind power is one kind among different intermittent generation sources, that brings several challenges to power system dynamics. For example, its integration in existing power systems can involve transient stability issues [4]. Unexpected dynamic behavior is now appearing in the form of sub-synchronous oscillations. Oklahoma Gas & Electric (OG&E) has recently measured with Phasor Measurement Unit (PMUs) [5] sub-synchronous oscillatory events resulting from interactions between wind farms at frequencies around 3-15 Hz. These oscillations were also observed at the consumer level in the form of flicker [6].

Traditional monitoring tools built on Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems cannot detect these fast dynamics, due to low sampling frequencies and lack of time synchronization of primary measurement sources. Figure 1 presents a comparison between SCADA and PMU measurements for this phenomenon. The usage of PMUs enables observability for phenomena occurring at frequencies up to half the reporting rate of these instruments (e.g. 15/25 Hz for a 30/50 Samples per second reporting rate), opening new and wide perspectives for monitoring and control applications based on synchrophasors. These new tools will help in acquiring a better knowledge on the challenges brought by the increase of intermittent energy sources.

Figure 1. Comparison example between PMU measurements and SCADA data during a fast dynamic event. The Figure shows the grid voltage in p.u.

This paper presents a PMU based monitoring tool developed for detecting sub-synchronous oscillatory phenomena in power systems with high wind power plant presence, similar to the one operated by OG&E. Moreover, the tool was tested and validated using three different types of experiments, including a comparison with an existing detection tool, and both Hardware-In-The-Loop (HIL) real-time simulation and real hardware-based emulation tests. The results obtained from these different types of tests demonstrate the good performance of the tool for allowing PMU-systems to detect sub-synchronous oscillations in power systems with high wind plant presence, such as OG&E's.

2 Algorithms for Fast Oscillation Detection

The effects of high frequency oscillations presented in the Introduction are undesirable [5], monitoring algorithms should therefore enable fast oscillation detection from PMU measurements. Traditional monitoring tools for inter-area oscillations estimate the frequency and damping for each oscillatory mode with two separate

algorithms. However, this estimation process will require several seconds to obtain accurate frequency and damping estimates

Detection of sub-synchronous oscillations as the ones described in the introduction is a different problem, as the main requirement is to provide quick detection of the unexpected dynamics in a given frequency range. Hence, the proposed tool in this paper uses one algorithm dedicated to the estimation of the amount of energy in the oscillations and the others dedicated to frequency estimation. The first is used to provide fast detection, and the second is used to provide usual confirmation of the detection results.

The three main algorithms used by the proposed PMU application (Fast oscillation detection, frequency estimation and pre-processing) are described next.

2.1 Fast Oscillation Detection

The proposed oscillation detection algorithm in this paper builds from work in [7]. As highlighted in [8], it is desirable for a fast oscillation detection tool to provide information about oscillatory behavior at different bands of the spectrum, without necessarily pinpointing the specific dynamics active in the given band. Thus, the fast oscillation detection algorithm included in the tool allows providing a measure of the severity of the oscillatory behavior. The spectrum of frequency of interest with potential oscillatory activity starts from 0.1 Hz and up to 50 or 60 Hz. However, such maximum frequency can not be reached due to the PMU reporting rate and the Nyquist–Shannon criterion. To cover such a broad span of frequencies, the algorithm can be executed in parallel several times; four instances in this case as shown in Fig. 2. Each instance can be configured independently and thus monitor differ-

ent frequency ranges. The following ranges, which depend on the sub-synchronous oscillation frequency, are suggested for the tool configuration:

- 0.10 Hz - 1 Hz: Inter-area modes, e.g. system-wide electromechanical swings.
- 1 Hz - 3 Hz: Local-area and torsional modes.
- 3 Hz - 15 Hz: High frequency oscillations, e.g. wind farms controller interactions.
- 15 Hz - 25 Hz: Other sub-synchronous oscillations, e.g. sub-synchronous resonance.

As shown in Fig. 2, after pre-processing the real-time measurements, each frequency range previously mentioned, is separated by four different band-pass filters set to the boundary frequencies of each range. This allows to rapidly determine the type of oscillation detected.

The output of the high-pass filter provides a measure of the “oscillatory activity” at the selected frequency range. The Root Mean Square (RMS) value of this output is used for energy computation, implying that the following computations are performed sequentially: squaring, averaging and finally computing the square-root of the signal. A low-pass moving average filter is used to extract the main trend of the squared signal. This is necessary so that a persistent and stable signal is provided to the forthcoming trigger level comparison. Finally, a trigger level comparison indicates if the computed energy exceeds a pre-set level.

2.2 Frequency Estimation

The frequency estimation algorithm, depicted in Fig. 3, is comprised by two different algorithms running in parallel. In this algorithm, the user may choose to activate

Figure 2. Diagram of the algorithm for fast real-time oscillation detection

one of them or both simultaneously. Depending on the case, the user may prefer to use a different method. A non-parametric method can be used when the frequency of the oscillations within a certain range is unknown, whereas a parametric method is appropriate when the knowledge about the number of possible oscillations within a range is known.

A brief description of both methods implemented is given in the following Section.

2.2.1 Non-Parametric Welch's Method Welch's power spectrum estimation is a method based on the standard Periodogram. The method attempts to increase the

Figure 3. Diagram of the algorithm for Spectral Estimation

readability of the Power Spectrum Density (PSD) by reducing the noise, however, it decreases the frequency resolution.

The method works as follows:

1. The input signal is split into overlapping segments of length M (the overlapping rate is set by the user).
2. Each segment is windowed (the window is chosen by the user).
3. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is computed for each windowed segment.
4. The PSD is obtained by averaging all the resulting spectra, thereby reducing the final variance.

For a complete description of the method, refer to Peter Welch's original article [9].

Since this method is based on FFT computations, it will highlight all the content of the power frequency spectrum, which is an intrinsic property of non-parametric estimation. This property is especially useful for signals, whose actual frequency content is unknown.

2.2.2 Parametric Auto-Regressive Methods These methods use an auto-regressive mathematical model of the input signal for estimating power spectral density. In this way, they integrate available knowledge about the input signal to improve spectral estimation.

Auto-regressive models have been applied for estimating power system frequency content, as described in [10, 11]. For this specific reason, this model is chosen in the *Monitoring Tool*. The specific method utilized is left to the choice of the user. All of the implemented methods work on the principle of model fitting. They differ on the method used to optimize the fitting process. For further details on parametric methods refer to [12] and for further details on their application to power systems, refer to [11]. It is worth mentioning that during experimentations, the *Burg Lattice* method has been particularly efficient.

The order of the model is a very important parameter. Its value cannot be fixed in advance because it is mainly dependent on the number of oscillations to be identified, and thus, the frequency content itself. In the case of the *Monitoring Tool* where the frequency spectrum of interest is divided into four frequency ranges, the order can be different in each of the frequency bands. It is worth mentioning that too small orders will lead to a smooth spectrum and some modes might be left unidentified (under-fitting). On the other hand, too large orders might lead to the identification of artificial modes and their appearance on the estimated spectrum (over-fitting).

2.3 Data Pre-Processing:

Outlier Removal and Down Sampling

The algorithms for estimating the energy and frequency of oscillations described previously involve filtering, averaging and spectral estimation processes. These processes require reliable data. In the case of PMUs, measurements are reported at a rather high rate (30, 50 or 60 samples per second) and transmitted over IP networks, and might contain wrong or missing measurements. The pre-processing of input data thus appears necessary to satisfy the requirements imposed by the methods used in the detection tool.

The pre-processing algorithm implemented is based on previous work which make PMU data pre-process of archived data off-line [13]. Since the tool is developed for online applications, modifications are introduced in the algorithm implemented in *Monitoring Tool* for allowing process of real-time measurements .

In general, the variation of frequency in a power system is the result of the interaction between varying loads and the generation that follows the same variations with an inertial delay. It should thus be a rather smooth process that implies that any value is expected to be within a confidence interval, as shown in Fig. 4, which can be determined from neighboring values and intrinsic system properties. The performance of the outlier removal algorithm is determined by the level of confidence of the interval used.

The confidence interval suggested here is built according to the following steps:

1. The input signal: (f) .
2. Create one copy (A) of the input signal delayed by 10 samples: $A_i = f_{i+10}$,
where i is the time index.

Figure 4. Example of a confidence interval for the frequency

3. Filter one copy (M) of the input signal with a moving average filter of order equal to 20: $M_i = \frac{\sum_{0 < t < 20} f_{i-t}}{20}$.
4. Subtract (M) from (A). The combination of a delayed copy (A) and (M) is equivalent to a having a moving average filter, where the average is computed on the 10 preceding samples and 9 following samples. Compute the standard deviation σ of the resulting signal.
5. Create the upper (U) and lower (L) limits of the confidence interval by adding/subtracting $k\sigma$ to (M), k the sensitivity factor, is set by the user.

Each element of (A) is then compared to (U) and (L). If $U_i > A_i > L_i$ the element is preserved, else it is dropped and will be replaced by an interpolated value. The interpolation is performed by taking as inputs the elements of the signal, the indexes of theses elements and all the indexes at which an element will be returned. Each returned element is either an input element if its index is on both lists or an interpolated value if its index is only on the second list. The chosen method for interpolation is linear; negligible divergences were obtained when comparing with higher order polynomials.

An example of the outlier removal algorithm in action on real-time PMU data is shown in Fig. 5. The data points removed are single points far from the neighbouring values, likely corresponding to measurement errors. The outlier removal effectively performs the tasks described above.

(a) Outlier removal inactive

(b) Outlier removal active

Figure 5. Screen shots of the frequency signal with and without outlier removal. Both Figures are drawn in Hertz.

After removing outliers, the signal is processed further to feed the frequency estimation algorithms by mean removal and high-pass filtering. This aids to atten-

uate frequency components related to the action of generator governors and loads, which are close to 0 Hz and lower than electro-mechanical modes.

Furthermore, according to Shannon's theorem, all the frequency content below frequency f_1 can be restored if the sampling frequency is at least $2 \times f_1$. The typical reporting frequencies of PMUs are 30, 50 or 60 Hz, which correspond to a highest observable frequencies 15, 25 or 30 Hz. The observed frequency in the tool can be much lower, thus, a step of down-sampling is added to remove redundant data¹. This step is preceded by a low-pass filter acting as an anti-aliasing filter.

The implementation was carried out with a band-pass filter for each frequency range configured. The down-sampling factor is calculated from the cut-off frequency of the band-pass filter, considering Shannon's criterion. An upper limit equal to 10 was added to the down-sampling factor, motivated by the limited size of the rolling window of buffered data.

3 Monitoring Application

The *Monitoring Tool* has been implemented as a real-time graphical analysis tool, which could be used by system operators or wind farm owners. LabView was chosen as the software development environment because of the availability of real-time mediators, namely Statnett's Synchrophasor Development Kit [14] and BableFish [15]. In addition, LabView also allows designing a graphical user interface in a straightforward manner.

The tool is provided with real-time PMU measurements, allowing monitoring of oscillatory events at a frequency up to the Nyquist limit. This broad frequency

¹Down-sampling is applied for frequency estimation and not performed in the oscillation detection algorithm in order to avoid lowering the reaction times of the algorithm.

spectrum contains different categories of phenomena, mentioned in Section 2. The tool has been implemented for monitoring four frequency ranges simultaneously. The elements in four instances are named *Modules*. The resulting Graphical User Interface (GUI) is depicted in Fig. 6.

Figure 6. Screen shot of the GUI of the *Monitoring Tool*

The graph on the top-right of the interface is a simple representation of the buffered input signals received. The signals are displayed one at a time, letting the user choose from the list box on top of the graph in Fig. 6 (the voltage magnitude is displayed in this case). This graph is also useful for calibrating of the outlier removal algorithm.

The top left part of the GUI is used to configure the tool and divided in five tabs. The first tab “*Options*” gathers the general configuration of the tool as well as state indicators. The remaining tabs “*Module 1 to 4*” are four identical tabs used for the configuration of the estimation and detection modules, see Fig. 7. The configuration should be done after launching and initializing the tool.

Figure 7 presents an interface with two separate blocks. The block on the left is used to configure the band-pass filter, the parameters are common for both the *Oscillation Detection* and the *Frequency Estimation* algorithms. The block on the right is dedicated to the *Frequency Estimation* algorithm and contains the parameters for each of the methods mentioned in Section 2. It also includes the parameters for spectral averaging. Finally the user is able to choose in the list box if both methods are to be used simultaneously or just one of them.

Figure 7. Screen shot of the configuration tab of one module of the *Monitoring Tool*

Once the configuration is set, the outputs of the algorithms are displayed in a *Module*, highlighted on Fig. 6. The graph on the right presents the power spectrum density, which is the output of the *Frequency Estimation* algorithm. It is scaled according to the parameters of the band-pass filter, highlighting the content of interest.

The graphical display on the left has several components, the most important are the three indicators *Low Activity*, *High Activity* and *Danger!!!*, corresponding to the three thresholds defined in the general configuration tab. The comparison is made on the latest sample, whose value is displayed by the field *Energy*. The graph provides a history to easily corroborate the energy computed with the input

signal displayed. Given that the input signal is filtered by three FIR filters, the output signal is shorter than the input signal. Moreover the order of the filters have a strong influence on the length of the output signal. This can be modified by the user to adapt to their needs, measurement features and particular power network.

In the next Section, the *Monitoring Tool* presented in this paper is compared against an in-house tool developed by OG&E. OG&E's tool deployed on a production-grade server is shown in Fig. 8, it provides detection of the oscillations and email notifications. The application was developed in VB.net and utilizes the digital signal processing exocortex² library for FFT oscillation detection. It is configured via a set of parameters, which can be tested against archived data for assessing their relevance.

Figure 8. Screenshot of OG&E's FFT tool

²<http://www.exocortex.org/dsp>

4 Validation Experiments

4.1 *Replay Experiments*

In the previous Section the *Monitoring Tool* was introduced and all the options for its configuration have been presented. While the options related to communication connectivity aspects do not require knowledge about the power system analyzed, the configuration of the processing algorithms, especially for frequency estimation, have to be configured according to the properties of the power system. A good solution is to use archived data to calibrate the algorithms.

The original idea was to replay archived data from a Phasor Data Concentrator (PDC), broadcast it as an output stream, and use the *Monitoring Tool*. The diversity of archival techniques and file structures raised the issue that a PDC, such as OpenPDC [16], was not suitable to replay the archived data format available. This led to the development of the *Replay Tool*.

4.1.1 *Replay Tool* The *Replay Tool* was developed using the same code as the real-time tool. However, additional software was developed to buffer the input measurements from archived data and mimic real-time streams. The interface is almost identical to the *Monitoring Tool*, as shown in Fig. 9. The processing algorithms are identical. This tool has specific additional features. For example it allows to scroll along the replayed data, which can be useful to get a quick overview of the content of the selected file. The *Replay Tool* works, otherwise, exactly like the *Monitoring Tool*.

4.1.2 *Results* The experiments carried out with the *Replay Tool* used archived data obtained from OG&E, containing measurements from different locations dur-

Figure 9. Screenshot of the *Replay Tool* reading the file of the oscillation case at OG&E, shown in Fig. 8

ing oscillatory events at a frequency around 13 Hz, as mentioned in the Introduction. These experiments served, during the development and implementation of the algorithms, to verify that the algorithms worked properly and to calibrate their configurations for other experiments.

Figure 9 presents the *Replay Tool* during the replay of such oscillatory event. The beginning of the oscillatory phenomenon can be clearly identified in the frequency (display on the top left), as well as in both active and reactive power (displays on the top right). It can also be noticed that the *Module* on the bottom right, corresponding to the frequency range [12 - 15 Hz], that the *Danger!!!* indicator is activated only a few seconds after the beginning of the phenomenon. Additionally the oscillatory frequency is identified to 2 Hz on the PSD.

Figure 8 presents OG&E's tool analysing the same archived data. As it can be seen on the screenshot a strong oscillatory activity, above the defined threshold, is occurring at 12 Hz. Additional oscillatory components can be noticed around 1.4 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 8 Hz, 9.4 Hz and 10.7 Hz. The main 12 Hz component is also detected using

the *Monitoring Tool* and it activates the *Danger!!!* indicator as shown on Fig. 9. All the other components can also be identified on Fig. 9, validating the *Monitoring Tool*'s algorithms.

It must be noted that the OG&E's tool polls a database within fixed time intervals and performs FFT computations using a large buffer, meanwhile, the PMU-based application tool developed uses real-time measurements (small data buffer), thereby is able to detect the oscillation earlier. This demonstrates the technical advantage of using real-time PMU measurements for fast detection of sub-synchronous oscillation dynamics.

4.2 *HIL Testing at SmarTS Lab*

The experiment, presented in this Section, was carried out at SmarTS Lab for testing the tool's performance using real-time PMU measurements under different power system conditions. The testing approach utilizes hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) simulation, which involves the development of a power system model capable of generating the oscillatory phenomenon mentioned in the Introduction and depicted in Fig. 1, as well as additional grid operation scenarios. The lab. set-up, as well as some results from the testing experiments, are presented in this Section. A desired description of the testing experiments is presented in [17, 18].

4.2.1 Set-up at SmarTS Lab The test set-up built in the laboratory makes use of HIL simulation, replacing the power system by models executed in real-time on a simulator equipped with reconfigurable analog inputs/outputs. The rest of the Wide Area Monitoring Systems (WAMS) architecture is replicated, building a testing platform which is depicted in Fig. 10, with the following elements:

- The real-time simulation target from Opal-RT [19].
- A National Instruments compact Reconfigurable I/O (cRIO) PMU connected to the analog outputs of the simulator.
- The connection cables of the PMU for acquiring voltage measurements, connected to the simulator.
- The network connection of the PMU to stream the data to the PDC server of the lab.
- The PDC server of the lab, running software from Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories, Inc (SEL).
- The application host workstation with the software development environment used to develop the *Monitoring Tool* [14]

For a complete description of the HIL environment at SmarTS Lab refer to [20].

Figure 10. Diagram of the experimental set-up at SmarTS Lab for WAMS application development and testing

The real-time simulator enable the simulation of different grid operation scenarios and allows the measuring equipment to be interfaced to any of the buses

simulated. The PMU measurements gathered in the PDC server are made available in the software development environment through a real-time data mediator, namely Statnett's Synchrophasor SDK [14] or BableFish [15]. The data mediators are compliant with the IEEE C37.118.2 standard [21] for PMU data exchange and deliver the PMU measurements in the chosen software development environment (LabView).

4.2.2 Real-time Simulation Model For analyzing sub-synchronous oscillations, an adapted version of the well-known Kundur's model benchmark is used. This model is modified by substituting 2 synchronous generators by wind farms containing 13 and 16 DFIG-wind turbines, as shown in Figs. 11 and 12. The important modification was the addition of a variable three phase source, which was used to introduce any type of waveforms into the network as perturbation, and thus reproduce similar oscillations as these observed at OG&E. The three phase source was connected at the point of common connection of the first windfarm to the grid (PCC1 on Fig. 11).

Figure 11. One line diagram of the test power system with two windfarms

4.2.3 Results Figure 6 depicts the behavior of the tool when the oscillations are injected with an initial amplitude of 0.05 p.u. followed by an increase of the oscillations amplitude to 0.07 p.u. The resulting system dynamics can be seen on

Figure 12. One line diagram of the windfarms. The part circled in red is not present in the second windfarm

the real-time display, where the beginning of the oscillations and the increase of their amplitude can be clearly identified³. The results of the processing algorithms are presented in *Module 3*. It can be noticed on the left part of the *Module* that the detection of the oscillation has been rapidly achieved. Following the increase of the oscillations' amplitude, the level of energy computed has raised accordingly and all the LED indicators are activated. On the right part, the PSD shows an active frequency identified as 10.83 Hz, confirming the efficiency of the tool for this oscillation case.

4.3 Validation using Hardware-Based Emulation

The last experiment for validating the *Monitoring Tool*'s performances was carried out at the Catalonia Institute for Energy Research (IREC) in Barcelona, Spain. The

³The oscillations are injected at 10.83 Hz, the equivalent of 13 Hz in a 50 Hz system - This aims to model the original case which happened in the USA where the system frequency is 60 Hz.

lab is equipped with a small replica of a utility-connected micro grid [22], comprised of several grid emulators that can generate any variable AC power ($P_{max} = 4000W$). A brief overview of the experiments will be covered in this Section, the experimental set-up will be presented, and the result of one experiment will be shown. A full description of the validation methods and experiments is presented in [23].

4.3.1 Experimental Setup at IREC: Microgrid The validation experiments aimed to validate the performance of the developed software under a more realistic testing environment. Thus, the real-time simulator, in the set-up at SmarTS Lab presented in Section 4.2, was replaced by one of the power emulators mentioned previously. The measurement equipment, as well as the communication architecture, was replicated at IREC, building a full set-up as presented in Fig. 13.

The emulator was configured to inject power oscillations into the distribution grid. The cRIO PMU was connected for both voltage and current measurements to the output of the emulator.

Figure 13. Diagram of the experimental set-up at IREC.

4.3.2 Results The micro grid at IREC is connected to the Spanish national grid, which is a strong grid when considering the ratings of the micro grid laboratory. Thus the frequency and voltage are externally controlled and the *Monitoring Tool* was adapted to feed the oscillation detection algorithms with current measurements. Also, the real-time data display provides the active and reactive power as they give the most information about the injected oscillations. The screenshots presented in this Section will, therefore, differ from the results presented in Section 4.2 (slightly).

The experiment presented in this paper is the first validation experiment, which was designed with respect to the capability of the emulator. The micro grid was conceived to emulate certain equipment that exhibits slow dynamics. The power injection was therefore done with a sinusoidal profile at a frequency of 1 Hz.

Figure 14 presents the results of this experiment. It can be noticed that once the thresholds have been calibrated, the detection is achieved in a few seconds. The frequency of the oscillations is detected around 1.1 Hz (see Fig. 14b); this difference between the reference frequency and the identified frequency is due to errors in the accurate reproduction of the reference signal by the emulator.

5 Conclusion

This paper have presented the design and experimental validation of a PMU-based application for detecting sub-synchronous oscillations at wind farm levels. The application tool introduced in the paper provides both the frequency and the severity of the oscillation detected. In order to enhance the tool accuracy and its potential used, sub-synchronous oscillation modes have been classified by frequency range. Also, the application include a energy measure of the oscillation detected. The

(a) Active/Reactive power

(b) Module [0.5 - 3 Hz]

Figure 14. Partial screenshot of the *Monitoring Tool* at the beginning of the injection of oscillations at 1 Hz

tool has been developed for fast detection of sub-synchronous oscillation dynamics, taking advantage of real-time data obtained from PMU devices.

The PMU-based Fast real-time oscillation detection tool has been validated by means of three different cases. First, it have been compared with the existing OG&E tool by the off-line reproduction of the same signal profiles, both obtained the same frequency results but the PMU-based tool presented also showed the impact of each frequency observed. Finally, the application have been verified in two online real-time testing demonstrating its good performance and accuracy over real measurements.

Additionally, the development of this PMU application showed that new applications can be conceived and implemented without relying on a monolithic software

environment and within a relatively short time. The presented PMU-based application not only provides similar results than existing tools but also is capable to detect the sub-synchronous oscillations sooner than the traditional FFT-based tools (with large data buffer) due to the real-time measures which require small data buffer speeding up the data analysis.

Acknowledgment

The research was supported partly by EIT KIC InnoEnergy “Smart Power Project” under Action 2.6 “PMU-based power system tools”, Nordic Energy Research through the STRONG²rid project, Statnett SF, the STandUP *for* Energy Collaboration Initiative.

References

- [1] James F. Manwell, Jon G. McGowan, and Anthony L. Rogers. *Wind Energy Explained: Theory, Design and Application*. John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- [2] David Milborrow. Europe 2020 wind energy targets, eu wind power now and the 2020 vision. *Windpower Monthly Special Report*, March 2011.
- [3] U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). Most states have Renewable Portfolio Standards, Feb 2012.
- [4] J. Wiik, J. O. Gjerde, and T. Gjengedal. Impacts from large scale integration of wind energy farms into weak power systems. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Power System Technology*, pages 49 –54, 2000.
- [5] Austin White and Steven Chisholm. Relays become problem solvers. *Transmission & Distribution world*, November 2011.
- [6] Austin White (OGE), Steve Chisholm (OGE), Hamed Khalilinia (WSU), Zaid Tashman (WSU), and Mani Venkatasubramanian (WSU). Analysis of Subsynchronous

- Oscillations at OG&E. NASPI-NREL Synchrophasor Technology and Renewables Integration Workshop - Denver, CO., June 7 2012.
- [7] J.F. Hauer and F. Vakili. An oscillation detector used in the BPA power system disturbance monitor. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 5(1):74 –79, Feb. 1990.
 - [8] Dan Trudnowski. Fast real-time oscillation detection. Proc. North Amer. SynchroPhasor Initiative (NASPI) Work Group Meeting, Orlando, FL., February 29 - March 1 2012.
 - [9] P. Welch. The use of fast fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms. *IEEE Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics*, 15(2):70 – 73, jun 1967.
 - [10] Luigi Vanfretti, Sebastian Bengtsson, Vemund Aarstrand, and Jan Ove Gjerde. Applications of spectral analysis techniques for estimating the Nordic grid’s low frequency electromechanical oscillations. In *16th IFAC Symposium on System Identification*, number 16 in IFAC Proceedings Volumes (IFAC-PapersOnline), pages 1001–1006. IFAC, 2012. Invited Paper, Special Session: “Development of System ID Methods for Power System Dynamics”.
 - [11] Juan Sanchez-Gasca. Identification of electromechanical modes in power systems. Special Publication, TP462, IEEE Power & Energy Society, 2012.
 - [12] Monson H. Hayes. *Satistical digital signal processing and modeling*. John Wiley & Son, 1996.
 - [13] Luigi Vanfretti, Sebastian Bengtsson, and Jan Ove Gjerde. Preprocessing Synchronized Phasor Measurement Data for Spectral Analysis of Electromechanical Oscillations in the Nordic Grid. *European Transactions on Electrical Power*, in press.
 - [14] Luigi Vanfretti, Vemund Haarstand Aarstrand, Muhammad Shoaib Almas, Vedran Peric, and Jan Ovre Gjerde. A software development toolkit for real-time syn-

chrophasor applications. to appear in Proceedings of the IEEE PowerTech 2013.

- [15] Iyad Al Khatib and Luigi Vanfretti. Real-Time Data Mediation for Synchrophasor Application Development compliant with IEEE C37.118.2. IEEE PES General Meeting 2013.
- [16] OpenPDC: The Open Source Phasor Data Concentrator. online: <http://openpdc.codeplex.com/>.
- [17] Luigi Vanfretti, Maxime Baudette, Iyad Al Khatib, Muhhamad Shoaib Almas, and Jan Gjerde. Testing and validation of a fast Real-Time oscillation detection PMU-Based application for Wind-Farm monitoring. In *First International Black Sea Conference on Communications and Networking*, July 2013.
- [18] Maxime Baudette. Fast real-time detection of sub-synchronous oscillations in power systems using synchrophasors. Master's thesis, KTH, Electric Power Systems, 2013.
- [19] eMEGAsim PowerGrid Real-Time Digital Hardware in the Loop Simulator - Opal RT. [online]. Available: <http://www.opal-rt.com/>.
- [20] M.S. Almas, M. Baudette, L. Vanfretti, S. Lovlund, and J.O. Gjerde. Synchrophasor network, laboratory and software applications developed in the strong2rid project. In *2014 IEEE PES General Meeting / Conference Exposition*, pages 1–5, July 2014.
- [21] IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems. *IEEE Std C37.118.2-2011 (Revision of IEEE Std C37.118-2005)*, pages 1 –53, 2011.
- [22] A. Ruiz-Alvarez, A. Colet-Subirachs, F. Alvarez-Cuevas F., O. Gomis-Bellmunt, and A. Sudria-Andreu. Operation of a Utility Connected Microgrid Using an IEC 61850-Based Multi-Level Management System. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 3(2):858–865, 2012.
- [23] Maxime Baudette, Luigi Vanfretti, Gerard Del-Rosario, Albert Ruíz-Alvarez, José-Luis Domínguez-García, Iyad Al-Khatib, M. Shoaib Almas, Ignasi Cairo, and Jan O. Gjerde. Validating a Real-Time PMU-Based Application for Monitoring

of Sub-Synchronous Wind Farm Oscillations. In *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference North America (ISGT North America), 2014 IEEE PES*.